UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LINDA M. TRAVIS,

Plaintiff.

-against-

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

22-CV-8640 (LTS)

ORDER TO AMEND

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, Linda M. Travis, a Westchester County resident who is appearing *pro se*, brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), seeking judicial review of a final administrative decision of the Commissioner of Social Security. By order dated October 12, 2022, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), that is, without prepayment of fees. For the reasons set forth below, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint within 60 days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an IFP complaint, or portion thereof, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co., 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3). While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe pro se pleadings liberally, Harris v. Mills, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they suggest," Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted, emphasis in original).

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff uses the Court's complaint form for actions brought under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). In response to the questions on the complaint form asking her to state the date of the Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ") decision denying her claim, the date of the Appeals Council's letter denying her appeal, and the date she received the Appeals Council's letter, Plaintiff writes, "N/A (No Response)." (ECF 2, at 2.)

The following allegations are taken from documents attached to the complaint form, which include a letter from Plaintiff addressed to the court and letters to Plaintiff from the Social Security Administration ("SSA"). In April 2022, Plaintiff received a letter from the SSA stating that it will no longer pay her benefits beginning April 2022. The letter informs Plaintiff that in order to continue receiving her benefits, a face-to-face interview is required. (*Id.* at 11.) The letter also indicates that SSA will continue to charge Plaintiff a monthly premium for medical insurance under Medicare and describes the actions Plaintiff must take to appeal the decision. A September 5, 2022, letter from the SSA states that it cannot pay Plaintiff's regular monthly benefits because Plaintiff's Medicare premium was not paid within the time limit, and therefore her medical coverage has stopped. (*See id.* at 12.) That letter also explains how Plaintiff can pay her premium and the procedures for filing an appeal.

Plaintiff alleges that she was denied benefits "due to the fact that I am a transgender woman." (*Id.* at 16.) She states that she has "followed all of the steps in the process for appealing the decision" but the SSA will not "respond to [her] request." (*Id.*) Plaintiff also hired Allsup, a private company that helps individuals receive SSDI and Medicare benefits, but the SSA "would not work with them." (*Id.*) Plaintiff also attaches a Program Discrimination Complaint Form she filed with the SSA alleging that she was discriminated against because she came out as transgender prior to the SSA stopping her benefits. (*See id.* at 8-10, 16.) Plaintiff believes the

loss of her benefits "is a direct result of some type of discrimination penalty" and that the "only alternative" to losing her benefits is "to be interrogated by an investigator" whom Plaintiff believes is the person discriminating against her. (*Id.* at 16.)

DISCUSSION

The Social Security Act permits claimants to seek review in federal court of a "final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security made after a hearing to which [the claimant] was party." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). If a complaint does not contain allegations showing that there has been a final decision, then it does not satisfy the requirements for jurisdiction under Section 405(g). *See Weinberger v. Salfi*, 422 U.S. 749, 764 (1975) ("The statute empowers district courts to review a particular type of decision by the Secretary, that type being those which are 'final' and 'made after a hearing.'").

The "final decision" requirement has two elements. The first is the requirement that a claim for benefits be presented to the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner"). The second is the requirement that the administrative remedies of the SSA be exhausted. *Abbey v. Sullivan*, 978 F.2d 37, 43 (2d Cir. 1992) (citing *Bowen v. City of New York*, 476 U.S. 467, 483 (1986)). To exhaust the administrative review process, a plaintiff must: (1) receive an initial determination concerning the computation of benefits; (2) seek reconsideration; (3) request a hearing before an ALJ; and (4) request that the Appeals Council review the ALJ's decision. 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); 20 C.F.R. § 404.900(a)(1)-(5). When the Appeals Council issues a final decision, the plaintiff's administrative remedies have been exhausted and the plaintiff may seek review of that decision in a federal district court. ¹

¹ "[I]f... the [Appeals] Council denies the request for review, the ALJ's opinion becomes the final decision." Sims v. Apfel, 530 U.S. 103, 107 (2000). "If a claimant fails to

A plaintiff's failure to exhaust may be excused, either by the Commissioner or, under limited circumstances, by the courts. *City of New York v. Heckler*, 742 F.2d 729, 736 (2d Cir. 1984). But "exhaustion is the rule, waiver the exception." *Abbey*, 978 F.2d at 44. Courts look to the following factors to excuse failure to exhaust: "(1) that the claim is collateral to a demand for benefits; (2) that exhaustion would be futile; and (3) that plaintiff[] would suffer irreparable harm if required to exhaust administrative remedies." *Pavano v. Shalala*, 95 F.3d 147, 150 (2d Cir. 1996) (citing *Abbey*, 978 F.2d at 44).

Plaintiff's complaint suggests that Plaintiff did not exhaust her administrative remedies or receive a final decision from the Commissioner regarding her claims for benefits. Plaintiff alleges that the SSA "failed to respond" to her requests for an appeal, but she does not set forth specific facts demonstrating that any failure to exhaust should be excused under the factors described above. Because Plaintiff does not allege facts showing that this Court has jurisdiction under Section 405(g) to hear her claims, her complaint cannot proceed at this time.

Second Circuit precedent is clear that "[a] *pro se* complaint should not [be] dismiss[ed] without [the Court's] granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Dolan v. Connolly*, 794 F.3d 290, 295 (2d Cir. 2015) (quoting *Chavis v. Chappius*, 618 F.3d 162, 170 (2d Cir. 2010) (internal quotation marks omitted)). Because the nature and viability of Plaintiff's claims are not clear, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to amend her complaint to show that she exhausted her administrative remedies with the SSA before filing this action in federal court, or to set forth

request review from the Council, there is no final decision and, as a result, no judicial review in most cases." *Id*.

specific facts showing that her failure to exhaust administrative remedies should be excused, consistent with the standards set forth above.

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff is granted leave to amend her complaint to detail her claim. Using the complaint form for actions brought under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), Plaintiff must:

- a) provide the date of the ALJ's decision;
- b) provide the date of the Appeals Council letter;
- c) provide the date she received the Appeals Council letter; and
- d) attach a copy of the Appeals Council letter to her amended complaint.

If Plaintiff has not exhausted her administrative remedies, she must include facts showing that her failure to exhaust her administrative remedies should be excused. Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wishes to maintain must be included in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff and note service on the docket. Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within sixty days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 22-CV-8640 (LTS). An Amended Social Security Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed and cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the Court will dismiss the action for failure to exhaust her administrative remedies, without prejudice to file a new action after Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf.

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates

good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 24, 2022

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge

6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X :	
(Your Name & Social Security Number)	: AMENDED	
Plaintiff,	: COMPLAINT	
-against-	: Civ ()	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	:	
Defendant.	: : X	
judge pursuant to section 205(g) and/or section 1631(c) amended, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and/or § 1383(c)(3). 2. Plaintiff resides at		
 3. Defendant is the Commissioner of Social Sec 4. Plaintiff became entitled to receive disa Supplemental Security Income benefits because of the 	ability insurance benefits and/or	
5. The disability began on this date		

- 6. That the Bureau of Disability Insurance of the Social Security Administration disallowed plaintiff's application upon the ground that plaintiff failed to establish a period of disability and/or upon the ground that plaintiff did not have an impairment or combination of impairments of the severity prescribed by the pertinent provisions of the Social Security Act to establish a period of disability or to allow disability insurance benefits or Supplemental Security Income benefits.
- 7. Subsequent thereto, plaintiff requested a hearing, and on ______[date of hearing], a hearing was held which resulted in a denial of plaintiff's claim on ______[date of administrative law judge decision].
- 8. Thereafter, plaintiff requested review by the Appeals Council, and after its consideration, the decision of the administrative law judge was affirmed on ______ [date of Appeals Council letter]. Plaintiff received this letter on ______, thereby making the administrative law judge's decision the "final decision" of the Commissioner, subject to judicial review pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g)and/or §1383(c)(3). IMPORTANT: ATTACH A COPY OF THE APPEALS COUNCIL LETTER TO THE BACK OF THIS COMPLAINT.
- 9. The decision of the administrative law judge was erroneous, not supported by substantial evidence on the record, and/or contrary to the law.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully prays that:

(a) A summons be issued directing defendant to appear before the Court;

(b) Defendant be ordered to submit a certified copy of the transcript of the record, including the evidence upon which the findings and decision complained of are based;

- (c) Upon such record, this Court should modify the decision of the defendant to grant monthly maximum insurance and/or Supplemental Security Income benefits to the plaintiff, retroactive to the date of the initial disability, or in the alternative, remand to the Commissioner of Social Security for reconsideration of the evidence; and,
 - (d) For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated:		
	Plaintiff's Signature	
	Area Code and Telephone	

Rev.11/2010